




Human Rights Review Panel

European Union Rule of Law Mission

Kosovo

Annual Report 2025

1 January - 31 December 2025

Human Rights Review Panel - Secretariat

Bekim Fehmiu Street, Derand Hotel Building, 7th Floor, No. 6, 10000 Pristina, Kosovo

Tel: +383 49 78 1680

Email: office@hrrp.eu

www.hrrp.eu

Foreword

This is the fifteenth Annual Report of the Human Rights Review Panel (hereinafter, “the Panel” or “HRRP”), which covers the period from 1 January to 31 December 2025.

This report has been prepared by the Panel and is presented to the Mission, to EU Member States and contributing third States, as well as to the general public, with a view to disseminating information on the activities and case law of the Panel.

During the reporting period, the Panel received three (3) new complaints and deliberated on the first of them, declaring it inadmissible. It also continued to monitor the Mission’s compliance with its recommendations in the twenty-one (21) cases at the follow-up stage. Moreover, the Panel continued to examine a complex case concerning the human rights of a former protected witness and his family. As of 31 December 2025, the pending caseload stood at twenty-four (24) cases.

In 2025, the Human Rights Review Panel’s functioning was severely affected by the unavailability of the Presiding Member from mid-June and the uncertainty over her employment status until November, when the Head of Mission informed the other Panel Members and the Legal Officer of the end of her employment.

In addition, the Secretariat underwent two significant changes. In June, it was relocated from the building in the Pristina city centre, at Rrustem Statovci Street, where it had been since its establishment, to a new building on Bekim Fehmiu Street. In December, its staff was reduced from three to two positions. As of 31 December 2025, the Secretariat comprised one legal officer and one Albanian-Serbian-English interpreter/translator.

Marina Mancini
Acting Presiding Member
Human Rights Review Panel

Table of Contents

Foreword	2
1. Regulatory Framework	4
1.1. Council Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP of 4 February 2008 on the European Union Rule of Law Mission EULEX Kosovo	4
1.2. Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/856 of 8 June 2018.....	4
1.3. EULEX Kosovo Accountability Concept of 29 October 2009	4
1.4. Applicable International Human Rights Instruments	5
1.5. Rules of Procedure.....	5
1.6. Expedited Processing of Cases.....	6
2. Caseload and Subject Matter of Complaints	6
2.1. Caseload and Statistics.....	6
2.2. Subject Matter of Complaints	7
2.3. Sessions of the Panel	8
3. Jurisprudence.....	8
3.1. Introduction	8
3.2. Decision on Admissibility	8
4. Other activities of the Panel	9
4.1. Public Outreach Campaign.....	9
4.2. Induction Training	9
4.3. HRRP Online	9
5. The Panel and the Secretariat.....	10
5.1. Members of the Panel	10
5.1.1. Presiding Member.....	10
5.1.2. Substitute EULEX Member	10
5.1.3. Members as of 31 December 2025.....	10
5.2. The Secretariat.....	11
6. Budget.....	12
7. Conclusions and recommendations.....	12
ANNEX 1 Statistics 2010 - 2025	13
ANNEX 2 Table of Violations and Follow-Up Decisions – 31 December 2025	14
ANNEX 3 Decisions of the Panel 2010 - 2025.....	17

1. Regulatory Framework

The legal basis for the operation of the European Union Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo, EULEX Kosovo (hereinafter “the Mission”), derives generally from the United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244 (1999) of 10 June 1999, and is provided specifically by Decisions of the Council of the European Union. These Council Decisions serve to implement the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) of the European Union.

1.1. Council Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP of 4 February 2008 on the European Union Rule of Law Mission EULEX Kosovo

The Council Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP is the source of authority and power of the EULEX Mission in Kosovo. It laid down the *mandate* of EULEX Kosovo and, *inter alia*, specified its responsibility to act in compliance with relevant human rights standards in Article 3 (i): “*ensure that all its activities respect international standards concerning human rights and gender mainstreaming*”.

1.2. Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/856 of 8 June 2018

Under its current mandate, as amended by Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/856, the Mission monitors selected cases and trials in Kosovo’s criminal and civil justice institutions. This includes, but is not limited to, cases that were handed over to the competent Kosovo institutions. Furthermore, EULEX Kosovo retains a limited number of executive powers in relation to, *inter alia*, witness protection, criminal intelligence and the maintenance of public order, as second security responder to the local authorities.

1.3. EULEX Kosovo Accountability Concept of 29 October 2009

The establishment of an independent, effective and transparent human rights accountability mechanism was identified early in the Mission’s operation to be a fundamental requirement for EULEX Kosovo as a Rule of Law Mission vested with certain executive functions. These executive functions included various functions in policing and prosecution reserved for EULEX officials in relation to the maintenance of public order, criminal investigation and prosecution, particularly of war crimes and organised crime, and assistance in forensic anthropology. Such an external accountability mechanism was intended to complement and supplement the overall accountability of EULEX Kosovo as provided by the Third Party Liability Insurance Scheme and the EULEX Internal Disciplinary Mechanism.

The Accountability Concept of EULEX Kosovo laid down the *mandate* of the Human Rights Review Panel to *review complaints from any person, other than EULEX Kosovo personnel, claiming to be the victim of a violation of his or her human rights by EULEX Kosovo in the conduct of its executive mandate*.¹

However, pursuant to the Accountability Concept, the Panel does not have jurisdiction in respect of the Kosovo courts. The fact that EULEX judges sat on the bench of a particular court does not modify the character of these courts as Kosovo courts.

¹ Accountability Concept: EULEX KOSOVO – Human Rights Review Panel, General Secretariat of the Council, Brussels, 29 October 2009. This is a restricted document. Its details cannot be disclosed to the public.

The Panel adopted its Rules of Procedure on 10 June 2010, the date from which it was authorised to receive complaints. It amended them on 21 November 2011, 15 January 2013, 15 January 2019, 11 December 2019 and again on 6 July 2023.

1.4. Applicable International Human Rights Instruments

In accordance with the provisions of the Accountability Concept, the Panel may consider complaints pertaining to alleged breaches of relevant human rights instruments, including the following:

- The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)
- The European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR, 1950)
- The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD, 1965)
- The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, 1966)
- The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR, 1966)
- The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW, 1979)
- The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhumane or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT, 1984)
- The International Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC, 1989)

In practice, the complaints filed to date have been primarily based upon the ECHR and its Protocols. A number of complaints have also made reference to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, ICCPR, ICESCR and other human rights instruments. In several complaints, references were also made to the case-law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, the 1949 Geneva Conventions, and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

1.5. Rules of Procedure

Following the entry into force on 15 June 2018 of Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/856, the mandate and composition of the Human Rights Review Panel were changed. To reflect these changes, the Rules of Procedure also needed to be amended.

On 15 January 2019, the Panel adopted its amended Rules of Procedure to account for the change in the Mission's mandate and the need to recompose the Panel.

On 11 December 2019, the Panel adopted an amendment to Rule 43 of the Rules of Procedure, to allow both parties to a complaint to be able to submit a request for revision of findings of a decision of the Panel, in circumstances where new information had come to light that was not available at the time when the Panel rendered its initial decision.

On 6 July 2023, the Panel amended Rule 22 of the Rules of Procedure regarding the interim measures. Under the amended Rule 22, the Head of Mission shall notify the Panel of his/her decision regarding the request for interim measures; the Panel will then adopt a decision on the Head of Mission's response and on the implementation of any interim measures: it may either close the matter of the interim measures or remain engaged on it, until a decision is made on the admissibility and/or the merits of the complaint.

The Rules of Procedure are available in English, Albanian and Serbian on the website of the Panel at <https://hrrp.eu/reference-documents.php>.

1.6. Expedited Processing of Cases

From the end of 2020, to expedite the processing of pending cases, the Panel, as a general rule, deals with issues of admissibility and merits simultaneously in a single decision.

2. Caseload and Subject Matter of Complaints



2.1. Caseload and Statistics



As of 1 January 2025, the pending caseload stood at twenty-two (22) cases.

The Panel received three (3) new complaints in 2025.

During 2025, the Panel finalised one (1) case, declaring it inadmissible.

Therefore, the pending caseload on 31 December 2025 stood at twenty-four (24) cases.





Note that some cases included multiple complaints, not all of which were (in)admissible.

2.2. Subject Matter of Complaints

Most of the cases considered by the Human Rights Review Panel in 2025 concerned enforced disappearances that occurred either during or immediately after the 1998-1999 conflict in Kosovo. They mainly pertain to violations of the right to life under its procedural limb and the right to freedom from torture and inhuman or degrading treatment, as guaranteed by Articles 2 and 3 of the ECHR, due to the suffering caused by the disappearance and the lack of an effective investigation. With

regard to these cases, the Panel continued to follow up on the implementation of its recommendations by the Head of Mission.

The Panel also continued to follow up on the Head of Mission's implementation of its recommendations regarding a case of violation of the right to an effective remedy, as protected by Article 13 of the ECHR, arising from mistreatment and prolonged exposure to lead in IDP camps, affecting 116 Kosovo Roma who were internally displaced during the 1998-1999 conflict.

Moreover, the Panel continued to examine the complaint submitted by a former protected witness, alleging multiple violations of his human rights and those of his family while they were under the EULEX Kosovo witness protection programme.

The three new complaints submitted during the reporting period concern alleged violations of human rights arising from the conduct of the Kosovo police and prosecution authorities.

2.3. Sessions of the Panel

During 2025, the Human Rights Review Panel held one (1) session. The session was held through electronic means, as authorized by Rule 13(3) of the Rules of Procedure.

- 58th Session: 4 December 2025

3. Jurisprudence

3.1. Introduction

During the reporting period, the Human Rights Review Panel deliberated on one new case and declared it inadmissible.

The Panel also continued to monitor the Mission's compliance with its recommendations in the following cases: 2011-20, 2016-09, 2016-10, 2016-11, 2016-12, 2016-13, 2016-14, 2016-15, 2016-16, 2016-17, 2016-19, 2016-20, 2016-21, 2016-22, 2016-23, 2016-24, 2016-28, 2016-29, 2016-30, 2016-32, 2017-02. The Panel's ability to follow up on its recommendations is an important element of its normative infrastructure, as it ensures that its recommendations are duly and fully considered by the Mission and implemented to the greatest possible extent.

3.2. Decision on Admissibility

The Panel rendered one (1) decision on admissibility during its 58th Session.

- *Case 2025-01 Ilir Olloni against EULEX.* On 4 December 2025, the Panel declared this case inadmissible. The complaint concerned the alleged failure of the Kosovo authorities to investigate the economic and personal harm that the complainant claimed to have suffered from a neuropsychiatrist. The Panel found that the complainant's allegations were not connected to the Mission's executive mandate. Decisions concerning the investigation and prosecution of cases fall entirely within the competence of the Kosovo authorities. Therefore, the Panel held that the complaint fell outside the scope of its jurisdiction and was inadmissible.

4. Other activities of the Panel

4.1. Public Outreach Campaign

During the reporting period, three informational videos about the HRRP and its work were available on the Panel's website and on its Facebook page in English, Albanian and Serbian.

They were produced in 2020 and 2021 and cover the following topics:

- Introduction to the Human Rights Review Panel
- How can you make a complaint to the Human Rights Review Panel?
- What does the Human Rights Review Panel do with your complaint?

4.2. Induction Training

In 2025, the Legal Officer of the Secretariat, Ms Pia Holm, continued her assistance to the EULEX induction training program for incoming staff members. The format consists of a presentation on the work of the HRRP with time allocated for questions and answers. This process is useful to brief new staff members on the mandate of the Panel, to further underline the importance of human rights compliance for EULEX Kosovo and to raise the profile of the Panel with EULEX staff members in the Mission's area of operations. This is an important element in ensuring that EULEX personnel are made aware of their human rights obligations and can act in accordance therewith.

4.3. HRRP Online

During the reporting period, the Secretariat continued to maintain the Panel's website (www.hrrp.eu) and its Facebook account (Human Rights Review Panel), in English, Albanian and Serbian languages.

The HRRP website provides information on the mandate, procedures and operations of the Panel. It also contains regularly updated information on the decisions of the Panel as well as the list of pending and finalised cases.

The table of the jurisprudence of the Panel is readily accessible. It lists the Panel's growing case law by subject matter, both on admissibility and substance of cases under consideration. This was created, *inter alia*, to provide ready and user-friendly access to the case law of the Panel for complainants, lawyers and the public at large (<https://hrrp.eu/jurisprudence.php>).

Case-law notes are also available. They summarise by topic some of the most important aspects of the Panel's jurisprudence (https://hrrp.eu/Case-Law_Notes.php).

The HRRP website also provides information on:

- Applicable human rights standards (<https://hrrp.eu/relevant-rights.php>)
- How to make a complaint (https://hrrp.eu/filing_complaints.php).

5. The Panel and the Secretariat

5.1. Members of the Panel

Under the EULEX Kosovo Accountability Concept and the HRRP Rules of Procedure based on it, the Panel consists of three international members, one of whom is an EULEX staff member. The Panel member who is an EULEX staff member has an appointed substitute who is also an EULEX staff member. Prior to the revision of the Mission's mandate in June 2018, both served as EULEX judges in the Kosovo judicial system. Following the revision of the mandate, they were staff members of the Monitoring Pillar of the Mission. As from 15 June 2025, based on the reconfiguration of the Mission's structure, they are staff members of the Justice and Corrections Component.

5.1.1. Presiding Member

Ms Snježana Bokulić served as Presiding Member of the Panel until 14 June 2025 and was no longer available thereafter. However, the other two Members, Ms Marina Mancini and Mr Petko Petkov, and the Legal Officer, Ms Pia Holm, were informed of the end of her employment only by a letter from the Head of Mission dated 11 November, which clarified that Ms Bokulić's employment had ended on 14 June. At its 58th Session, on 4 December, the Panel took note of the Head of Mission's letter and agreed that Ms Marina Mancini would serve as Acting Presiding Member until the appointment of the third Member of the Panel and the election of the Presiding Member, in accordance with Rule 8 of the Rules of Procedure.

5.1.2. Substitute EULEX Member

Ms Henna Maria Niemi held the position of Substitute EULEX Member until the end of her tour of duty, on 15 April 2025. From that date, the position has been vacant.

5.1.3. Members as of 31 December 2025

Ms Marina Mancini – External Member, appointed on 3 April 2023, Acting Presiding Member

Ms Marina Mancini is Associate Professor of International Law at the Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, where she also teaches European Union Law (since 2015). She is also Adjunct Professor of International Criminal Law at LUISS University, in Rome (since 2007).

Ms Mancini has published extensively in the fields of human rights law, international humanitarian law and international criminal law. She is the author of the books "Stato di guerra e conflitto armato nel diritto internazionale" [State of War and Armed Conflict in International Law] (Turin, Giappichelli, 2009) and "Statualità e non riconoscimento nel diritto internazionale" [Statehood and Non-Recognition in International Law] (Turin, Giappichelli, 2020). She is also a member of the Board of Editors of the Italian Yearbook of International Law.

Ms Mancini has substantial experience in funded research projects in the fields of human rights law, international humanitarian law and international criminal law. She is the principal investigator of the research project "Advancing Accountability for International Crimes through Universal Jurisdiction: Challenges for the European Union and its Member States and Proposals for Action (UNIJUR)" (2023-2026), funded by the European Union under the NextGenerationEU recovery instrument. She also served as the Italian member of the Management Committee of the COST Action CA18228 - Global Atrocity Justice Constellations (2019-2023).

Ms Mancini earned her degree in Law, graduating *summa cum laude*, from LUISS University in 1998, and obtained her PhD in International Law from Sapienza University of Rome, in 2003. She was Postdoctoral Fellow in International Law at LUISS University (2003-2005) and Senior Lecturer in International Law at the Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria (2005-2015).

She was admitted to the Rome Bar Association (Ordine degli Avvocati di Roma) in 2002 and regularly provides advice on international law issues, including human rights violations.

Ms Mancini was appointed Member of the Human Rights Review Panel on 3 April 2023.

Mr Petko Petkov – Substitute EULEX Member, appointed on 19 December 2018, and EULEX Member, appointed as of 1 December 2023

Mr Petko Petkov graduated from Sofia University as a Magister of Law. He was a junior judge at the Sofia District Court from 2005 until 2007 and since 2007 he has been a judge at the Criminal Division of the Sofia Regional Court. During his career as a criminal judge, he has worked on thousands of cases, and it has been a core rule in his work to always adhere to the principles of the ECHR and to the European Court of Human Rights case law.

From 2014 to the end of 2015, he was appointed as an expert for a Deputy Minister of Justice of the Republic of Bulgaria. During that period, he was responsible for representing the country before the European Court of Human Rights and provided methodological guidance and control over the activity of the Directorate within the Ministry. Apart from his duties related to establishing the *modus operandi* of the procedural representation before ECtHR, he was directly involved in drafting the legislative amendments related to the Judiciary.

From 2012 until 2015 he was a guest lecturer in Criminal Law and Criminal Procedures at the National Training Institute for the Judiciary where he broadened and developed his knowledge at a more theoretical and academic level.

He joined EULEX Kosovo in 2017 as an International Criminal Judge where he worked until the end of the executive mandate of the Mission in 2018. Currently he is a Thematic Lead Monitor for Crimes under International Law in EULEX Kosovo.

Mr Petkov was appointed Substitute Member of the Human Rights Review Panel on 19 December 2018 and became a full Member as of 1 December 2023.

5.2. The Secretariat

The Secretariat provides legal and administrative support, as well as language services to the Panel. It also receives (potential) complainants and ensures communication and correspondence between the Panel and complainants, and between the Panel and the Head of Mission, respectively. Additionally, the Secretariat facilitates outreach to all communities in Kosovo.

Until 14 December 2025, the Secretariat consisted of one Legal Officer, one Albanian-English Translator/Interpreter and one Serbian-English Translator/Interpreter. From that date, the Secretariat has been staffed with the Legal Officer and one Albanian-Serbian-English Translator/Interpreter. Ms Pia Holm has served as Legal Officer throughout the reporting period. Mr Kushtrim Xhaferi held the position of Albanian-English Translator/Interpreter until 14 December 2025. Ms Xhenana Azizi was Serbian-English Translator/Interpreter until 14 December 2025 and has held the position of Albanian-Serbian-English Translator/Interpreter since then.

Until May 2025, the Secretariat was located in the Pristina city centre at Rustem Statovci Street No. 29. In June 2025, it was relocated to Bekim Fehmiu Street, on the seventh floor of the Derand Hotel building.

6. Budget

The Panel does not have an independent budget. Its modest requests for expenditure are approved by the Mission on an *ad hoc* basis. During the reporting period, the Panel did not make any expenditure requests.

7. Conclusions and recommendations

In 2025, the Human Rights Review Panel's ability to perform its work was severely affected by the unavailability of the Presiding Member from mid-June and the uncertainty over her employment status until November, when the Head of Mission informed the other Panel Members and the Legal Officer of the end of her employment. This hindered, *inter alia*, the examination of a complex case concerning the human rights of a former protected witness and his family, and the monitoring of the Mission's implementation of the Panel's recommendations regarding the twenty-one cases still at the follow-up stage.

At its 58th Session, on 4 December, based on the information provided by the Head of Mission regarding the end of employment of the Presiding Member, the Panel promptly agreed that the remaining external Member would serve as Acting Presiding Member until the appointment of a new Member and the election of the Presiding Member, in accordance with Rule 8 of the Rules of Procedure. On the same day, the Panel deliberated on the first of the three new complaints submitted during the reporting period and declared it inadmissible.

As of 31 December 2025, most of the pending cases are cases of enforced disappearances already illustrated in previous Annual Reports. With regard to them, the Panel regrets the Mission's decision, reiterated in a letter dated 3 October 2023, not to acknowledge the human rights violations attributed to EULEX and urges reconsideration of this decision. Such a public acknowledgement by the Mission would be an essential part of its human rights obligations under Council Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP and would go some way towards remedying the violations identified by the Panel.

Furthermore, the Panel restates its recommendation, already made in previous Annual Reports, that the Mission carefully considers the possibility of offering adequate reparation, including financial compensation where appropriate, to the victims of the human rights violations attributed to the EULEX, in all cases still under follow-up. This would be a tangible demonstration of the Mission's willingness to remedy the violations.

ANNEX 1 Statistics 2010 - 2025

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Registered cases in total	16	28	23	27	42	16	35	7	4
Finalised cases in total	6	30	10	20	28	27	19	25	6
Admissible	0	7	2	7	2	20	2	2	0
Inadmissible	6	22	10	13	21	12	9	23	6
Violation	0	2	0	7	2	4	9	2	0
Non-violation	0	5	0	0	1	10	0	0	0
Struck out	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	2	0

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total
Registered cases in total	2	1	2	0	0	0	3	206
Finalised cases in total	4	2	1	2	1	0	1	182
Admissible	10	5	6	1	0	0	0	64
Inadmissible	3	2	0	1	1	0	1	130
Violation	4	8	9	1	0	0	0	48
Non-violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Struck out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

	As of 31 December 2025
Pending	24
Communicated to HoM	22
Awaiting decision	3
At follow-up stage	21

ANNEX 2 Table of Violations and Follow-Up Decisions – 31 December 2025
(in case number order)

	Case	Admissibility	Findings	Follow up	Second	Third & More	Status
1	2010-01	8 APR 2011	8 APR 2011	23 NOV 2011			Closed
2	2010-07	8 JUN 2011	8 JUN 2011	23 NOV 2011			Closed
3	2011-07	5 OCT 2012	10 APR 2013	26 NOV 2013	26 AUG 2014		Closed
4	2011-20	5 OCT 2012	22 APR 2015	11 NOV 2015	10 JAN 2017	27 MAR 2019; 11 DEC 2019; 18 AUG 2022	Pending
5	2011-27	13 JUN 2017	5 DEC 2017	19 JUN 2019			Closed
6	2012-09	10 APR 2013	20 JUN 2013	5 FEB 2014			Closed
7	2012-10	10 APR 2013	20 JUN 2013	5 FEB 2014			Closed
8	2012-11	10 APR 2013	20 JUN 2013	5 FEB 2014			Closed
9	2012-12	10 APR 2013	20 JUN 2013	5 FEB 2014			Closed
10	2012-14	7 JUN 2013	4 FEB 2014	11 NOV 2014			Closed
11-12	2012-19 & 20	see 2012-09	30 SEP 2013	27 MAY 2014			Closed
13	2012-22	---	11 NOV 2015	29 FEB 2016			Closed
14	2013-03	1 JUL 2014	12 NOV 2014	11 NOV 2015			Closed
15	2013-21	11 JAN 2017	11 JAN 2017	13 JUN 2017			Closed
16	2014-11	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
17	2014-12	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
18	2014-13	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
19	2014-14	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
20	2014-15	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
21	2014-16	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
22	2014-17	30 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed

23	2014-18	12 NOV 2015	12 NOV 2015	11 JAN 2017			Closed
24	2014-32	11 NOV 2015	11 NOV 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017		Closed
25	2014-34	29 SEP 2015	19 OCT 2016	7 MAR 2017			Closed
26	2014-37	19 OCT 2016	19 OCT 2016	10 JAN 2017			Closed
27	2016-09	19 JUN 2019	11 DEC 2019	29 APR 2021			Pending
28	2016-10	19 JUN 2019	13 FEB 2020	29 APR 2021			Pending
29	2016-11	11 SEP 2019	11 DEC 2020				Pending
30	2016-12	11 SEP 2019	12 FEB 2020				Pending
31	2016-13	11 SEP 2019	12 FEB 2020	12 FEB 2021			Pending
32	2016-14	19 JUN 2019	11 DEC 2019	12 FEB 2021			Pending
33	2016-15	11 SEP 2019	26 MAR 2021				Pending
34	2016-16	12 FEB 2020	29 JUN 2021				Pending
35	2016-17	11 DEC 2019	4 JUN 2020	11 DEC 2020			Pending
36	2016-19	29 JUN 2021	29 JUN 2021				Pending
37	2016-20	26 MAR 2021	26 MAR 2021				Pending
38	2016-21	26 MAR 2021	26 MAR 2021				Pending
39	2016-22	11 DEC 2020	29 JUN 2021				Pending
40	2016-23	4 JUN 2020	11 DEC 2020				Pending
41	2016-24	11 DEC 2020	11 DEC 2020				Pending
42	2016-28	28 MAR 2019	11 SEP 2019	11 DEC 2020			Pending
43	2016-29	18 AUG 2022	18 AUG 2022				Pending
44	2016-30	26 MAR 2021	26 MAR 2021				Pending
45	2016-32	29 JUN 2021	29 JUN 2021				Pending
46	2017-02	27 MAR 2019	19 JUN 2019	11 DEC 2019			Pending
47	2018-01	16 SEP 2021	16 SEP 2021	6 JUL 2022			Closed

48	2019-01	4 JUN 2020	11 DEC 2020	29 APR 2021	16 SEP 2021		Closed
----	---------	------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--	--------

ANNEX 3 Decisions of the Panel 2010 - 2025
(in chronological order)

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2010-01	Djeljalj Kazagić	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, property matter	Violation
2010-02	Sadik Thaqi	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, death in Dubrava Prison 04/09/2003	No violation
2010-03	Osman Mehmetaj	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, death in Dubrava Prison 04/09/2003	No violation
2010-04	Feti Demolli	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, death in Dubrava Prison 04/09/2003	No violation
2010-05	Mursel Hasani	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, death in Dubrava Prison 04/09/2003	No violation
2010-06	Latif Fanaj	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor, death in Dubrava Prison 04/09/2003	No violation
2010-07	Blerim Rudi	Alleged failure of the Financial Intelligence Unit to comply with the order of the Independent Oversight Board to reinstate the complainant.	Violation
2010-08	Delimir Krstić	Alleged failure to act by EULEX police and prosecutor, property matter	Inadmissible
2010-09	Burim Ramadani	Alleged non-functioning of the court system, Kitchina-case	Inadmissible
2010-10	Horst Proetel	Unsuccessful candidature for a EULEX position	Inadmissible
2010-11	Laura Rudi	Private financial claim against a EULEX employee	Inadmissible
2010-12	Hunaida Pasuli	Unsuccessful candidature for a EULEX position	Inadmissible
2010-13	An EULEX- Employee	Internal EULEX dispute with regard to performance appraisal and personal relationship with supervisor	Inadmissible
2010-14	Lulzim Gashi	Unsuccessful candidature for a EULEX position	Inadmissible
2010-15	Faton Sefa	Failure to get reinstated to previous employment (private sector), alleged failure to implement court rulings	Inadmissible
2010-16	Cyma Agovic	Transferred from EULEX - Failure of the EULEX judges to fairly examine the complainant's case	Inadmissible

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2011-01	Family of Dede Gecaj	Request for investigation of the extradition decision of EULEX Courts in Kosovo in the case of the late Dede Gecaj	Inadmissible
2011-02	Chamalagai Krishna Bahadur	Alleged failure to act	Inadmissible
2011-03	Afrim Mustafa	Dispute with regard to closing down a private radio station and confiscation of radio equipment	Inadmissible
2011-04	Besim Berisha	Complaint about living conditions in Dubrava Prison	Struck out
2011-05	SH.P.K "Syri"	Alleged denial of the right to a fair hearing, freedom of expression and equality before the law, SCSC.	Inadmissible
2011-06	Milazim Blakqori	Alleged non-enforcement of a decision, failure to act by EULEX	Inadmissible
2011-07	Case W	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Violation
2011-08	Anton Rruka	Alleged denial of the right to a fair hearing, freedom of expression and equality before the law, SCSC.	Inadmissible
2011-09	Mirkovic Bojan	Alleged unlawful dismissal from EULEX	Inadmissible
2011-10	Dejan Jovanović	Alleged undue delay in the proceedings before the SCSC.	Inadmissible
2011-11	Srecko Martinović	Alleged excessive use of force, inhumane treatment and denial of right to a fair trial	Inadmissible
2011-12	Novica Trajković	Alleged excessive use of force	Inadmissible
2011-13	S.M.	Alleged excessive use of force, denial of right to a fair trial and failure to respect the right to private life	Inadmissible
2011-14	Lindita Shabani	Alleged denial of the right to private and family life	Inadmissible
2011-15	Samedin Smajli	Alleged denial of a fair trial and undue delay in proceedings	Inadmissible
2011-16	Avdyl Smajli	Alleged denial of a fair trial and undue delay in proceedings	Inadmissible
2011-17	Faik Azemi	Alleged denial of the right to a fair hearing	Inadmissible
2011-18	Mykereme Hoxha	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor	Inadmissible
2011-19	Sefer Sharku	Alleged failure to respect a binding court-decision.	Inadmissible
2011-20	X and 115 other complainants	Alleged failure by EULEX to protect the health and life of persons living in the lead contaminated Roma camps.	Violation
2011-21	Ventor Maznikolli	Alleged undue delay by EULEX judges in scheduling a Supreme Court hearing.	Inadmissible

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2011-22	Hysni Gashi	Alleged denial of a fair trial and alleged incompetence of EULEX judges.	Inadmissible
2011-23	Hashim Rexhepi	Alleged violations of the right to liberty and the right to a fair trial.	Inadmissible
2011-24	Predrag Lazić	Alleged failure to get a fair hearing in a reasonable time.	Inadmissible
2011-25	Shaip Gashi	Alleged deprivation of German disability pension.	Inadmissible
2011-26	Njazi Asllani	Alleged non-enforcement of a decision, failure to act by EULEX	Inadmissible
2011-28	Case Y	Alleged breach of the right to respect private and family life.	Inadmissible
2012-01	Qamil Hamiti	Alleged denial of the right to a fair hearing	Inadmissible
2012-02	Arben Zeka	Alleged failure to adjudicate property case	Inadmissible
2012-03	Rexhep Dobruna	Alleged denial of the right to a fair hearing.	Inadmissible
2012-04	Izet Maxhera	Property related dispute with EULEX in Mitrovica.	Inadmissible
2012-05	Fatmir Pajaziti	Alleged breach of right to liberty and right to a fair trial.	Inadmissible
2012-06	Case Z	Alleged violations of Articles 10 and 11 UDHR, Articles 5 and 6 ECHR, Article 9 ICCPR and Article 6 CAT	Inadmissible
2012-07	Case I	Alleged failure to act by EULEX Prosecutor and EULEX Police	Inadmissible
2012-08	Case U	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2012-09	Case A	Alleged violations of Articles 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 ECHR	Violation
2012-10	Case B	Alleged violations of Articles 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 ECHR	Violation
2012-11	Case C	Alleged violations of Articles 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 ECHR	Violation
2012-12	Case D	Alleged violations of Articles 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 ECHR	Violation
2012-13	Bejtush Gashi	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2012-14	Valbone Zahiti	Alleged violation of Article 8 ECHR	Violation
2012-15	Shefqet Emerllahu	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR, failure to investigate	Inadmissible
2012-16	Kristian Kahrs	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR, failure to act	Inadmissible
2012-17	Case E	Alleged violations of Articles 5 and 6 ECHR	Inadmissible

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2012-18	Hamdi Sogojeva	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2012-19	Case H	Alleged confiscation of property	Violation
2012-20	Case G	Alleged violations of Articles 3, 10, 11 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Violation
2012-21	Mirko Krlić	Alleged violations of Article 9 ECHR and Article 2 of Protocol 4 ECHR	No violation
2012-22	Zoran Stanisić	Alleged violations of Articles 3, 6 and 8 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Violation
2012-23	Predrag Blagić	Alleged violations of Article 5 ECHR and Article 2 of Protocol 4 ECHR	Struck out
2013-01	Case I	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-02	Arsim Krasniqi	Alleged violation of Article 3 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-03	Goran Becić	Alleged violations of Articles 13 and 14 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Violation
2013-04	Case J	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR (access to justice).	Inadmissible
2013-05	Case K	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-06	Case L	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-07	Case M	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-08	Case N	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-09	Case O	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-10	Case P	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-11	Case Q	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-12	Case R	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-13	Case S	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-14	Case T	Alleged violations of Article 3, 5, 13 and 14 ECHR	No violation
2013-15	Gani Zeka	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-16	Almir Susaj	Alleged violation of Article 3 and 8 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-17	Ramadan Rahmani	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-18	Jovanka, Dragan, Milan Vuković	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2013-19	Case U	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-20	Shaip Gashi	Alleged violations of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-22	Gani Gashi	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-23	Case V	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-24	Emin Maxhuni	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-25	Milorad Rajović	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-26	Selami Taraku	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-27	Shaban Kadriu	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-01	Nexhat Qubreli	Alleged violations of Article 5 and Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-02	Milica Radunović	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-03	A.Z.	Alleged violation of Articles 3, 8 and 13 ECHR	Struck out
2014-04	Tomë Krasniqi	Alleged violation of Article 1, 3, 6, 14 and 17 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-05	Mazlam Ibrahim	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-06	B.Y.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-07	Fitore Rastelica	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-08	C.X.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-09	Rifat Kadribasic	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-11	D.W.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-12	E.V.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-13	F.U.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-14	G.T.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-15	Zlata Veselinovic	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-16	H.S.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-17	I.R.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2014-18	Fitim Maksutaj	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Violation

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2014-19	Fahri Rexhepi	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-20	Mensur Fezaj	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-21	Shefki Hyseni	Alleged violation of Article 5 ECHR	Struck out
2014-22	Ismajl Krapci	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-23	Shaip Selmani	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-24	Case J.Q.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-25	Nuha Beka	Employment dispute	Inadmissible
2014-28	Selatin Fazliu	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-26	Ajet Kaci	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-27	Qerim Begolli	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-29	Shemsi Musa	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-30	Abdilj Sabani	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-31	K.P.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-32	L.O.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-33	Arben Krasniqi	Alleged violation of Articles 5 and 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-34	Rejhane Sadiku Sylja	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2014-36	Z.A.	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-37	Y.B	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3, 6, 8, 10 and 11 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2014-38	Slavica Mikic	Alleged violation of Article 13 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-11	D.W.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-12	E.V.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-13	F.U.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-14	G.T.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-15	Zlata Veselinovic	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2014-16	H.S.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-17	I.R.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2014-39	Musli Hyseni	Alleged violation of Article 5 ECHR	Struck out
2014-40	Avni Hajdari	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Struck out
2014-41	Liridona Mustafa Sadiku	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-42	Bujar Zherka	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-01	Milos Jokic	Alleged violations of Article 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 12 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-03	Dekart Shkololli	Alleged violation of Article 8 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-07	Dobrivoje Radovanovic	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-08	Afrim Berisha	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-09	Driton Hajdari	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-10	Shaban Sylja	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-13	W.D.	Alleged violation of Articles 6 and 8 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-03	Afrim Islami	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1, Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-04	Nazmi Maloku	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2014-10	J.Q	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3 and 13 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-04	Valon Jashari	Alleged violation of Articles 3, 6 and 8 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-02	V.E.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-01	Skender Jashari	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-15	Đorđe Šmigić	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3, 8 ECHR and Article 2 of Protocol 4 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-12	Case U	Alleged violation of Articles 6, 13 and 14 of ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-11	Zvonimir Jovanović	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-06	X.C.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-05	Teresa Peters	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2014-35	M.N.	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2015-14	Miodrag Konić	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3, 8 and Article 2 of Protocol 4 ECHR	Struck out
2015-16	Vuleta Vošić	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3, 8 and Article 2 of Protocol 4 ECHR	Struck out
2015-02	Ramadan Hamza	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-03	Alfred Bobaj	Alleged violation of Article 6 of ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-36	Namon Statovci	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 and Article 9 and 11 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-33	Agron Bytyci	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-27	Afrim Islami	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-26	T.G.	Alleged violation of Article 8 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-25	Hilmi Krasniqi	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-08	Hamdi Hasani	Alleged violation of Article 8 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-07	Mentor Qela	Alleged violation of Article 3, 6 and 17 of ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-06 /2017-04	Shpresim Uka	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-05	Axhemi Zyhdhi	Alleged violation of Articles 6 and 13 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2013-21	Thomas Rusche	Alleged violations of Article 6 ECHR and Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Violation
2011-27	F. and Others	Alleged failure to protect a witness, the right to life	Violation
2016-34	R.I.	Alleged violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-35	Ndërmarrja Hoteliere Turistike Iliria Deçan	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-01	A.Z.	Alleged violation of Articles 3, 6, 9 and 14 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-05	Hysni Gash	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-06	Feriz Gashi	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-07	C.X	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2011-20	X. and 115 Others	Alleged failure by EULEX to protect the health and life of persons living in the lead contaminated Roma camps.	Third and Fourth Follow-up
2011-27	F. and Others	Alleged violation of Article 2 ECHR	Follow-up

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2018-02	D.W.	Alleged violation of Article 2 ECHR	Inadmissible
2018-04	Afrim Islami	Alleged violation of Article 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2018-03	E.V.	Alleged violation of Article 1 Protocol 1 ECHR	Inadmissible
2017-02	Zufe Miladinović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible, Violation and Follow-up
2016-28	S.H.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-09	Milorad Trifunović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-14	Milan Ađančić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-10	Dragiša Kostić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-11	Anđelija Brakus	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-12	U.F.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-13	Miomir Krivokapić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-15	Dragan Janačković	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-17	Milijana Avramović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-10	Dragiša Kostić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-11	Petar Brakus	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-12	U.F.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-13	Miomir Krivokapić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-16	Dobrivoje Vukmirović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-17	Milijana Avramović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation and Follow-up
2016-18	P.K.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-22	Radmila Sapić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible
2016-23	Q.J.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-24	Vesko Kandić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-28	S.H.	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Revision rejected and Follow-up
2019-01	G.T.	Alleged violation of Article 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
2020-01	Reihan Kaja	Alleged violation of Articles 9 and 14 ECHR	Inadmissible
2016-09	Milorad Trifunović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Follow-up
2016-10	Dragiša Kostić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Follow-up
2016-13	Miomir Krivokapić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Follow-up
2016-14	Milan Ađančić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Follow-up
2016-15	Dragan Janačković	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-16	Dobrivoje Vukmirović	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-19	Dušan Milosavljević	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-20	Dragica Čerimi	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-21	Milanka Čitlučanin	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-22	Radmila Sapić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Violation
2016-30	Svetlana Đorđević	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2016-32	Biljana Đorđević	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2018-01	Y.B. 2	Alleged violation of Articles 6 and 8 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2019-01	G.T.	Alleged violation of Article 3 ECHR	First and Second Follow-up
2011-20	X. and 115 Others	Alleged failure by EULEX to protect the health and life of persons living in the lead contaminated Roma camps.	Fifth Follow-up
2016-29	Sladana Savić	Alleged violation of Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	Admissible and Violation
2018-01	Y.B. 2	Alleged violation of Articles 6 and 8 ECHR	Follow-up
2019-02	Driton Hajdari and Teuta Bici-Hajdari	Alleged violation of Article 3 ECHR	Inadmissible
2021-01	N.M.	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3, 5, paras 1 and 4, and 6 ECHR	Inadmissible
2021-02	O.L. and Others	Alleged violation of Articles 2, 3 and 8 ECHR	Decision on the Implementation of a Request for the Adoption of Interim Measures

Case	Complainant	Subject matter	Result
Decisions 2025			
2025-01	Ilir Olloni	Request that EULEX ensure the local authorities' investigation into the complainant's allegations	Inadmissible